

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

LUCIANO TONELLI, ) CASE NO. C05-0376-RSL-MAT  
Plaintiff, )  
v. ) ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S  
SARGENT AUTRY, et al., ) MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
Defendants. )

Plaintiff is a Washington state prisoner proceeding *pro se* in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case is now in the discovery stage. On August 12, 2005, plaintiff sent the court a letter in which he alleged that prison officials had not complied with his recent requests for certain documents. (Dkt. #28). In the letter, he asked for an extension of time. Accordingly, the court will construe the letter as a motion for an extension of time to conduct discovery. So construed, the court does hereby find and ORDER:

(1) Although a certificate of service is attached to the letter, plaintiff did not fill out the certificate completely and it appears that he may not have served defendants with a copy of the motion, as required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5(a) and Local Rule CR 5(f). In addition, plaintiff did not note the motion as required by Local Rule CR 7(b). Finally, the court notes that after he filed the motion, plaintiff filed multiple documents attached to pleading he entitled: "Notice/Order Re: Pretrial Preparation/Submitted Documents." (Doc. #29). Thus, it appears that

**ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME  
PAGE -1**

01 plaintiff has succeeded in obtaining documents from prison officials. For these reasons, the Clerk  
02 shall STRIKE plaintiff's motion for an extension of time. Plaintiff may renew the motion if  
03 circumstances so dictate.

04 (2) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for  
05 defendants, and to the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik.

06 DATED this 29th day of August, 2005.

07   
08 Mary Alice Theiler  
09 United States Magistrate Judge  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26